

**Columbia College**  
**Canadian Criminal Law and the Court System (CRIM 135)**  
**Lecture 1**  
**Course Outline**

Semester Dates: March 16, 2015 – April 18, 2015

Please note that when a holiday falls during the week, your class will be rescheduled for the Friday of that week. Students are required to make arrangements to be present at the rescheduled class.

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Facilitator: Kamaal Zaidi                 | Email: lbwspinner@gmail.com |
| Class Time: 8:30 pm – 5:00 pm (Saturdays) | Room: 805-109               |
| Credit: 3                                 | Prerequisite: None          |

---

Note: It is the student's responsibility to be familiar with the information contained in the Course Outline and to clarify any areas of concern with the facilitator.

**Course Description:**

This course investigates the nature, purpose, sources, and principles of Canadian criminal law. Current policy and specific legislation in the Criminal Code will be examined in detail. The various levels and goals of the courts (federal, provincial, municipal) will be discussed. The major structures of the courts, basic procedures and legal concepts will be explored. A special emphasis will be placed on the criminal court systems. Prerequisite: None.

**Learning Outcomes:**

As a result of active participation in these sessions, a student can expect to:

- Identify and explain each of the various levels and functions of the Canadian court system.
- Critically assess the impact of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms on the criminal law in Canada.
- Explain the *actus reus* (physical act) elements of a criminal offense and exceptions to the general rule.
- Discuss the *mens rea* (mental) elements in the criminal law both subjective and objective liability.
- Distinguish between strict and absolute liability in Canada.
- Evaluate each of the modes of participation in crime and inchoate offenses.
- Interpret the general legal principles on mental impairment and criminal responsibility.
- Explain the general legal principles governing mistake of fact, consent, and mistake of law as defenses to a criminal charge.
- Critically evaluate the general principles governing provocation, intoxication, necessity and duress as defenses to a criminal charge.

## Course Format:

This course uses a variety of teaching/learning methods including discussion, personal reflection, experiential exercises, student presentations, role-plays, group activities and especially case studies. Our faculty aims to create a learning environment where the learner is actively engaged in inquiry, critical thinking and problem solving. The classroom provides you with a place where you can learn with and from others in a cooperative and collaborative manner.

You are expected to take a very active part in class discussions and take responsibility for your own learning. Be a positive and co-operative team member. Columbia College uses a facilitation model of instruction where the facilitator's role is to facilitate your learning. The expectation is that you will come to class prepared with pre-class homework completed. Your facilitator will engage you in activities that are based on your completed homework and readings. Your enthusiastic and positive approach in the classroom will create an atmosphere that will help every student develop the knowledge, skills and attitudes that are needed for success.

How you conduct yourself in our classes will, to a large extent, mirror your conduct in society and your future work site. For example, if you have a tendency to ask questions, challenge the ideas of others in a respectful manner, draw out the best from your colleagues, and encourage both group development and task accomplishment in this class, it is likely you will do the same at work. A high level of student involvement and developing professionalism is expected in the classroom as you work towards your goal.

## Required Textbooks and Equipment:

Verdun-Jones, S. (2011). *Criminal Law in Canada: Cases, Questions, and the Code*, 5th edition. Toronto, ON: Nelson Education Publishers Ltd.

Criminal Code of Canada; 2015 (R.S.C 1985).

Haig, J., MacMillan, V., Raikes, G., (2014). *Cites & Sources – An APA Documentation Guide*, Fourth Edition. Toronto, ON: Nelson Education Ltd..

## Recommended Readings and Resources:

*Students may access these sources from the College and from home.*

ProQuest Nursing and Allied Health, Canadian Business and Current Affairs, and Canadian Newsstand

- <http://proquest.umi.com/login>  
Username: cc-library  
Password: welcome

GALE InfoTrac Custom Journals

- <http://infotrac.galegroup.com/itweb/calg145?db=SP00>  
Password: cclibrary09

## Homework Assignment Due for the First Class:

1. Read Chapters 1, 2 and Appendices II and III in your text, *Criminal Law in Canada: Cases, Questions, and the Code*, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition.
2. As a result of your reading assignment write down at least three questions that you would find beneficial to discuss in class.
3. Prepare for a graded quiz on the assigned reading.
4. Read this syllabus and be prepared to discuss in class.

## Evaluation - Assessment of Student Performance:

The final grade in the course will be based on the following elements. Wherever possible facilitators will use rubrics to assess your performance and offer feedback.

| Title of Assignment/Examination       | Due Date            | Weight |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| Assignment 1: Research Paper          | Class 5             | 15%    |
| Assignment 2: Critical Analysis Paper | Class 9             | 20%    |
| Quizzes                               | Classes 1 through 7 | 20%    |
| Presentation                          | Class 8 and 9       | 15%    |
| Final exam                            | TBA                 | 30%    |

Please note that all homework and assignments are due at the beginning of each class.

## Grading:

Grades for each component will be added together at the end of the semester. The final total will be translated to the Columbia College's 4.0 grading scale as follows:

### Marking and Grading Conversion:

| Description  | Letter Grade | Grade Points | Percentage Scale |    |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|----|
| Excellent    | A+           | 4.0          | 100              | 95 |
|              | A            | 4.0          | 94               | 90 |
|              | A-           | 3.7          | 89               | 85 |
| Good         | B+           | 3.3          | 84               | 80 |
|              | B            | 3.0          | 79               | 75 |
|              | B-           | 2.7          | 74               | 70 |
| Satisfactory | C+           | 2.3          | 69               | 65 |
|              | C            | 2.0          | 64               | 60 |
|              | C-           | 1.7          | 59               | 55 |
| Poor         | D            | 1.0          | 54               | 50 |
| Failure      | F            | 0.0          | 49               | 0  |

Please note that to pass this course you must earn at least a "D" (a minimal pass).

## Submission and Completion of Assignments:

You are expected to submit assignments by the due date. Any late assignments may be assessed a marking penalty of 5%. If you are unable to submit an assignment on the due date, you must request an extension **before** the due date by filling out an *Application for Assignment Extension form (SSPP-F012)* that is to be submitted to the Department Chair for approval. This form is available on Columbia's website, Bldg. 802 – Main Office and from Department Chairs.

### **Requesting an Examination Deferral:**

If you are requesting an exam to be deferred, you must submit an Application for *Deferred Examinations form (SSPP-F012)* to the Department Chair **within 48 hours of the missed examination date and time**. Applications for deferred examinations will only be considered due to medical or personal emergency. A medical certificate or other appropriate documentation may be required. This form is available on Columbia's website, Bldg. 802-Main Office and from Department Chairs.

### **Attendance Requirements:**

Columbia College believes that students are committed to their program and learning experiences. However, it is understood that there are times when students may be absent. Any absences can be viewed as a potentially serious disruption of the learning process and necessary achievement of the learning objectives. Being late is also considered unacceptable as it interferes with the learning opportunities of others. Unavoidable absences or lateness must be reported to the course facilitator in advance. Please refer to Columbia College's *Attendance Policy and Regulations (ADM-P151)* for detailed information on Attendance Requirements.

### **Academic Integrity:**

Academic dishonesty is a serious offence and can result in suspension or expulsion from Columbia College.

There is no tolerance for academic dishonesty and any student caught plagiarizing is subject to serious sanctions as outlined in the *Student Code of Conduct Policy (ADM-P229)*. Students are encouraged to familiarize themselves with this policy and avoid any behavior that could possibly be seen as cheating, plagiarizing, misrepresenting, or putting into question the integrity of one's academic work.

### **Student Conduct:**

It is the responsibility of each student to uphold the expectations and responsibilities outlined in the *Student Code of Conduct Policy (ADM-P229)* and any additional requirements established by your program.

Generally, each student will:

- be respectful and courteous toward others;
- demonstrate appropriate and supportive communication skills, and coach, assist, advise and otherwise support other students in their studies;
- manage any personal stress and conflict in a positive and resourceful manner, and assist others to do the same;
- be dressed in a manner appropriate for their workplace or learning environment, as established by the program;
- conduct themselves in a professional manner with regard to their communication with others and their behavior in class;
- conduct themselves with academic integrity in all of their learning activities, tests, exams, and assignments
- keep up with day-to-day classroom and course expectations.

## Important Dates:

| <b>Description</b>                            | <b>Date</b>  |
|---|--|
| Last to add/drop courses                      | 5 school operating days from the start of the semester OR before the third scheduled class, whichever is greater   |
| Last day to withdraw without academic penalty | 50% or less of the semester has been completed   |
| Final Examination                             | A final exam may take many formats. If a final exam is scheduled, it will be taken in an assigned room under the supervision of a Test Proctor. <u>Students must be on time as they will not be permitted to enter once the exam has started.</u> Exam dates, times, and location are posted by the main office Bldg. 802 and by the library in Bldg. 4. <u>It is the student's responsibility to check this exam posting.</u> |

## Appeals:

Please refer to the *Student Appeal Policy (ADM-P177)*.

## Students with Temporary or Permanent Disabilities:

Students with temporary or permanent disabilities may apply for accommodations. To be considered for an accommodation, a student must register with Columbia College's Disability Services by making an appointment with a Disability Services Advisor – Main Office – Bldg. 802 or emailing [disabilityservices@columbia.ab.ca](mailto:disabilityservices@columbia.ab.ca). The Department Chair or facilitator is not able to provide you with any accommodations without you taking this step. Please refer to Columbia College's website to review *the Accommodation Policy and Handbook (ADM-P188)*.

## Student Support:

Students should be aware that Life Coaching, Career and Disability Services, and Student Support Services (i.e. tutoring, academic strategists, etc.) are provided by Columbia College. Inquire how to request these services at the Main Office in building 802. It is the student's responsibility to discuss their specific learning needs with the appropriate service provider.

## Class Schedule/Overview:

Please note that this schedule is subject to change. Any changes or cancellations will be emailed to you. It is your responsibility to check the email address you have given to the school on a daily basis for any messages from the Department Chair/designate, facilitator or College Administration. It is the student's responsibility to be familiar with the information contained in the Course Outline and to clarify any areas of concern with the facilitator.

| Class Session | Topics   | Pre-Class Readings  |
|---------------|--|---|
| 1             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Introduction and Course Overview</li> <li>• Charter of Rights and Freedoms and the Canadian Court System</li> <li>• Law Reports</li> <li>• Legal Research Using the Internet</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appendix II and III</li> <li>• Quiz</li> </ul>   |
| 2             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Introduction to Canadian Criminal Law</li> <li>• <i>Actus reus</i> Physical act elements of a Criminal Offence</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chapters 1, 2</li> <li>• Quiz</li> </ul>   |
| 3             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Causation in Criminal Law</li> <li>• <i>Mens Rea</i> Mental intent elements in the Criminal Law -Subjective Liability</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chapters 3, 4</li> <li>• Quiz</li> </ul>   |
| 4             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mental Element in Criminal Law – Objective Liability</li> <li>• Special Case of Regulatory Offences</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chapters 5, 6</li> <li>• Quiz</li> </ul>   |
| 5             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Modes of Participation in Crime and Inchoate Offences</li> <li>• Mental Impairment and Criminal Responsibility</li> <li>• Review (Part I)</li> </ul>                                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chapters 7, 8</li> <li>• Quiz</li> <li>• Assignment #1 Due</li> <li>• Exam Review I</li> </ul> |
| 6             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mistake of Fact, Consent, and Mistake of Law as Defenses to a Criminal Charge</li> <li>• Provocation and Intoxication</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chapters 9, 10</li> <li>• Quiz</li> </ul>  |
| 7             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Necessity and Duress</li> <li>• Self-defence and Defence of Property</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chapters 11, 12</li> <li>• Quiz</li> </ul>   |
| 8             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Student Oral Presentations</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Presentation Due</li> </ul>  |
| 9             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Student Oral Presentations</li> <li>• Review (Part II)</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assignment #2 Due</li> <li>• Presentation Due</li> <li>• Exam Review II</li> </ul>             |
| 10            | <b><i>Final Exam – 3 hrs.</i></b>  |   |

## **Appendix 1 Assignment Outlines**

### **Assignment #1: Research Paper**

**Due Date: Class 5**

**Weight: 15%**

Students will prepare a research paper on the Roles and Functions of the Canadian Court System. The paper must have a minimum of 1,000 words (excluding title page and the reference page) to be written using APA format with at least two references in addition to the course text. Students will be evaluated according to the attached rubric.

### **Writing Assignment #2: Critical Analysis Paper**

**Due Date: Class 9**

**Weight: 20%**

Students will prepare a critical analysis paper on a topic of interest based around Criminal Law and the Court System in Canada. The paper must have a minimum of 1,250 words (excluding title page and the reference page) to be written using APA format with at least four references in addition to the course text. Students will be evaluated according to the attached rubric.

#### Possible topics:

- Mental Impairment and Criminal Responsibility as Defences to a Criminal Charge
- Mistake of Fact, Consent, and Mistake of Law
- Necessity and Duress as Defences
- Self-Defence and the Defence of Property

### **Quizzes**

**Due Date: Each class from classes 1 through 7.**

**Weight: 20% (2.5% per quiz)**

Students will be expected to read the assigned chapters in the text and other assigned readings and be prepared to write a graded quiz based on these reading assignments.

### **Oral Presentation**

**Due date: Class 8 or 9**

**Weight: 10%**

Each student will make a 10- to 15-minute PowerPoint presentation on the salient points of his/her Assignment #2 paper and be prepared to respond to questions from class members. Students will be evaluated according to the attached rubric.

### **Final Exam**

**Due Date: Class 10**

**Weight: 30%**

Students will be required to write a final exam covering the content of classroom learning and assigned text and other readings. The exam will consist of approximately 120 multiple-choice questions.

**Appendix 2  
Assignment Rubrics**

**Columbia College  
Research Rubric**

Last Revised: February 2014

| <b>Student's Name</b>                |   | <b>Course Code</b>   |   | <b>Date</b>   |              | <b>Facilitator/Evaluator's Name</b> |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Area</b>                          | <b>A<br/>10-8</b>   | <b>B<br/>7</b>   | <b>C-D<br/>6-5</b>  | <b>F<br/>4-0</b>  | <b>Score</b> |                                     |  |
| <b>Content, Ideas &amp; Analysis</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clear research focus.</li> <li>• Displays extensive in-depth knowledge of the topic.</li> <li>• Critically synthesizes and evaluates information.</li> <li>• Clearly supports statements made with evidence and examples.</li> <li>• Quality of research is excellent</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reasonably clear research focus.</li> <li>• Displays good knowledge of the topic.</li> <li>• Demonstrates careful analysis, synthesis and evaluation of information.</li> <li>• Clearly supports most statements with evidence and examples.</li> <li>• Quality of research is good.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Research focus is not totally clear.</li> <li>• Displays limited basic knowledge of the topic.</li> <li>• .Displays limited critical analysis, synthesis, and evaluation of information.</li> <li>• Most statements are unsupported with evidence and examples.</li> <li>• Quality of research could be improved.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Research focus is unclear.</li> <li>• Unable to display relevant understanding of the topic.</li> <li>• Critical thinking not evident.</li> <li>• Assertions are unsupported.</li> <li>• No evidence of new knowledge.</li> <li>• No support for statements made.</li> <li>• Quality of research is poor.</li> </ul> |              |                                     |  |
| <b>Style Expression of Ideas</b>     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Uses highly effective, clearly focused, varied sentences, addressing audience and purpose.</li> <li>• Conveys all ideas with originality and clarity.</li> <li>• Uses rich, accurate and effective word choice.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mostly uses effective, well structured, focused, varied sentences, addressing audience and purpose.</li> <li>• Conveys most ideas with originality and clarity.</li> <li>• Uses accurate and effective word choice.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Demonstrates limited attempts at creating varied sentences to address audience and purpose. Often sentences are somewhat awkward.</li> <li>• Conveys some ideas clearly.</li> <li>• Uses vague, ineffective word choice.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Uses simplistic sentence structures that are awkward and do not address audience and purpose.</li> <li>• Most ideas are not conveyed clearly.</li> <li>• Inadequate word choice.</li> </ul>  |              |                                     |  |

| Area   | A<br>10-8   | B<br>7  | C-D<br>6-5  | F<br>4-0  | Score |
|--|---|---|---|---|-------|
| <b>Use of Supporting Information</b>           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Uses relevant, timely, extensive and varied sources to convince.</li> <li>• Uses paraphrasing and summarizing expertly.</li> <li>• Shows smooth integration of quoted material into sentences and overall paper.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Uses relevant, timely and varied sources to convince.</li> <li>• In most cases paraphrasing and summarizing is well done.</li> <li>• Quotes and evidence are integrated well into sentences and overall paper.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Uses limited resources that are not well selected to support the response.</li> <li>• Uses limited paraphrasing and summarizing.</li> <li>• Quotes and evidence poorly integrated into sentences and overall paper.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lacks fundamental resources to write an effective response.</li> <li>• Inadequate reference support.</li> <li>• Inadequate use of paraphrasing and summarizing.</li> <li>• Quotes and evidence not integrated into sentences and overall paper.</li> </ul>   |       |
| <b>Organization and Structure</b>              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Presents information in a consistently logical structure.</li> <li>• Shows sophisticated development of paragraph and sentence structure, with effective transitions.</li> <li>• Shapes introduction and conclusion skilfully.</li> <li>• Carefully structures body of paper to support argumentation, including counter arguments.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Presents information in a logical structure.</li> <li>• Shows well-developed paragraph and sentence structure with effective transitions.</li> <li>• Shapes introduction and conclusion carefully.</li> <li>• Carefully structures body of paper to support argumentation with some reference to counter arguments.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Presents information in a random manner, lacking in logical structure.</li> <li>• Paragraph and sentence structure is often faulty, using a few simple transitions.</li> <li>• Introduction and conclusion are vague and unfocused.</li> <li>• Body of paper does not reveal good argumentation with little to no reference to counter arguments.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Presents poor overall organization, lacking logical structure.</li> <li>• Paragraphs lack focus and appropriate structure; sentence structures are simplistic, lacking development and transition.</li> <li>• Lacks introduction and/or conclusion and body of paper poorly developed.</li> <li>• Lacks argumentation and reference to counter arguments.</li> </ul> |       |
| <b>Mechanics, Grammar, Professional Format</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mainly error free.</li> <li>• Uses APA citation correctly.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A few minor errors in usage, grammar, or mechanics.</li> <li>• Generally uses APA citation correctly.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Frequent errors in usage, grammar, and mechanics, beginning to interfere with the readability and meaning of the paper.</li> <li>• Uses APA citation inconsistently and has errors.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Numerous errors in usage, grammar, and mechanics, affecting the readability and meaning of the paper.</li> <li>• Many errors in APA citation, demonstrating lack of citation knowledge.</li> </ul>   |       |

**Plagiarism: A “0” grade will be given to a paper where significant sections of the paper were copied from other, unattributed sources.**

**Total Score out of 50:**

**Facilitator/Evaluator's Comments:**

**Columbia College**  
**Critical Analysis Essay Marking Rubric**  
 Revised Jan. 2012

| Student's Name                       | Course Code   | Date  | Facilitator/Evaluator's Name  |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
|                                      | <b>A</b>  | <b>B</b>  | <b>C-D</b>  | <b>F</b>   |
|                                      | <b>5</b>  | <b>4</b>  | <b>3-2.5</b>  | <b>2.4-0</b>   |
| <b>Purpose Essential Information</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Introduces and presents paper effectively and clearly; purpose is readily apparent to the reader.</li> <li>First paragraph identifies three of the following: title, date, author and essential background information on the author.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Introduces and presents paper adequately; purpose is not consistently clear throughout the paper.</li> <li>First paragraph identifies only two of the following: the title, date, author and essential background information on the author.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Introduces and presents paper somewhat effectively; writing has a clear purpose but may at times move away from that purpose.</li> <li>First paragraph identifies only one of the following: the title, author and essential background information on the author.</li> </ul>                                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Introduces and presents paper poorly; purpose is generally unclear.</li> <li>First paragraph does not identify the title, author and essential background information on the author.</li> </ul>   |
|                                      | <b>10-9</b>   | <b>8-7</b>  | <b>6-5</b>  | <b>4-0</b>   |
| <b>Essay Structure</b>               | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Essay has a clear introduction, conclusion and well-developed essay body.</li> <li>Thesis is clearly stated and developed to demonstrate point of view.</li> <li>Paragraphs are logically developed both internally and externally, with transitions within and between paragraphs.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Essay has required introduction, conclusion and essay body that adequately presents essay components.</li> <li>Thesis is presented clearly, but may need more development.</li> <li>Paragraphs are mostly logically developed, both internally and externally, with some transitions within and between paragraphs.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Essay has required introduction, conclusion and essay body, but requires some further development.</li> <li>Thesis is presented but at times is slightly unclear.</li> <li>Paragraphs are not always logically developed, requiring attention to this area.</li> <li>Transitions are used but rarely.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Essay does not have all the components – introduction, conclusion and body paragraphs.</li> <li>Thesis is difficult to identify. May be restatement of an obvious point.</li> <li>Paragraphs are poorly developed and do not show logical progression of ideas.</li> <li>Transitions are not used.</li> </ul> |

|                 | <b>A</b>   | <b>B</b>  | <b>C-D</b>  | <b>F</b>   |
|-----------------|--|---|---|--|
|                 | <b>5</b>   | <b>4</b>  | <b>3-2.5</b>  | <b>2.4-0</b>   |
| <b>Argument</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clearly identifies and summarizes the main issues</li> <li>• Effectively addresses all of the author's issue/s.</li> <li>• Identifies all embedded issues and how they relate to each other.</li> <li>• Formulates a clear and precise personal point of view</li> <li>• Critiques with insight.</li> <li>• Identifies and rigorously evaluates all important evidence offered.</li> <li>• After considering all the relevant information and evidence, identifies and thoroughly discusses the implications, conclusions and consequences of the issue.</li> <li>• Provides new data or information to be considered</li> <li>• Ideas are supported effectively and sources are clearly attributed.</li> <li>• Acknowledges objections and provides convincing replies to these</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Successfully identifies and summarizes most of the main issues.</li> <li>• Explains most of the author's issue/s satisfactorily.</li> <li>• Identifies most embedded issues and how they relate to each other.</li> <li>• Formulates a clear and precise personal point of view</li> <li>• Critique is adequate.</li> <li>• Identifies all important evidence offered.</li> <li>• After considering most of the relevant information and evidence, identifies and briefly discusses the implications, conclusions and consequences of the issue.</li> <li>• Evaluates the information</li> <li>• Discusses alternate points of view.</li> <li>• Ideas are generally supported and paper includes clear attribution.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identifies main issues, but requires more explanation to be effective.</li> <li>• Alludes to embedded issues without development or explanation.</li> <li>• Demonstrates a vague or indecisive point of view</li> <li>• Some critique provided, but requires more development.</li> <li>• Successfully identifies data and data and information that counts as evidence but does not thoroughly evaluate its credibility.</li> <li>• Suggests some implications, conclusions and consequences of the issue but without reference to the context and relevant information</li> <li>• Considers weak alternative views.</li> <li>• Some ideas are substantiated, but more attention is needed to this area.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fails to identify, summarize, or explain the main problem or issue.</li> <li>• Represents the issues inaccurately.</li> <li>• Does not identify embedded issues.</li> <li>• No critique provided.</li> <li>• Fails to identify data and information that counts as credible evidence.</li> <li>• Fails to identify implications, conclusions and consequences of the issue</li> <li>• Fails to identify the key relationships between the context, data or evidence.</li> <li>• Difficult to determine point of view.</li> <li>• Attribution is missing, or sources given are poorly selected.</li> </ul> |

|  | <b>A</b>  | <b>B</b>   | <b>C-D</b>   | <b>F</b>   |
|--|---|--|--|--|
|  | <b>5</b>  | <b>4</b>   | <b>3-2.5</b>   | <b>2.4-0</b>   |
| <b>Mechanics, Grammar, Professional Format</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mainly error free.</li> <li>• Uses APA citation correctly.</li> <li>• Meets and exceeds all requirements for an excellent academic response.</li> <li>• Meets and exceeds all requirements of the assignment.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A few minor errors in usage, grammar, or mechanics.</li> <li>• Generally uses APA citation correctly.</li> <li>• Meets requirements for a well written academic response.</li> <li>• Meets requirements of the assignment.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Some errors in usage, grammar, and mechanics, beginning to interfere with the readability and meaning of the paper.</li> <li>• Uses APA citation inconsistently and with some errors.</li> <li>• Meets some requirements for an acceptable academic response.</li> <li>• Meets some of the requirements of the assignment.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Numerous errors in usage, grammar, and mechanics, affecting the readability and meaning of the paper.</li> <li>• Many errors in APA citation, demonstrating lack of citation knowledge.</li> <li>• <input type="checkbox"/> Does not meet enough requirements for an acceptable academic response.</li> <li>• Does not meet enough requirements of the assignment.</li> </ul> |

**Plagiarism:** A “0” grade will be given to a paper where significant sections of the paper were copied from other, unattributed sources.

**Total Score out of 30:**

**Facilitator/Evaluator’s Comments:**

**Columbia College**  
**Oral Presentation Rubric**  
 Last Revised: January 23, 2014

| Student's Name      | Course Code   | Date   | Facilitator/Evaluator's Name  |   |       |
|---------------------|---|--|---|---|-------|
| Area                | A (85% - 100%)<br>10.0 – 7.0  | B - C- (55% - 84%)<br>6.9 – 5.5  | D (50% - 54%)<br>5.4 - 5.0  | F (0 - 49%)<br>4.9 - 0  | Score |
| <b>Organization</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Creates introduction that is unique, clearly focused and engages the audience.</li> <li>• Organizes content logically, making entire presentation easy to follow.</li> <li>• Builds main points through carefully selected and original examples/ references.</li> <li>• Has effective transition between sections.</li> <li>• Summarizes all key points and stimulates thought at the end.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Creates introduction that is original, clearly focused and gets the attention of the audience.</li> <li>• Organizes content logically, making most of the presentation easy to follow.</li> <li>• Builds main points through carefully selected examples/ references.</li> <li>• Has a transition between most sections.</li> <li>• Summarizes most key points and stimulates some thought at the end.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Creates an introduction that tends to be unfocused.</li> <li>• Doesn't engage the audience's interest.</li> <li>• Content appears disorganized, without logic and consistency.</li> <li>• Builds a few main points with details, but most ideas are unsupported.</li> <li>• Few to no transitions are evident.</li> <li>• Little to no summarizing evident. Ending does not stimulate much thought.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Presentation shows little to no logical organization.</li> <li>• Content is difficult to follow.</li> <li>• Not a thoughtful presentation.</li> </ul>  |       |
| <b>Content</b>      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides evidence of supporting research to back all main points.</li> <li>• Gives a complete explanation of all key points.</li> <li>• Uses relevant examples/ references.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides evidence of supporting research. To back most points.</li> <li>• Gives an explanation of most key points.</li> <li>• Uses some relevant examples/ references.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides evidence that not enough research has been done to support the content.</li> <li>• Gives an incomplete explanation of key points.</li> <li>• Uses a few relevant examples/ references.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Indicates little to no research.</li> <li>• Gives a limited explanation of a few points.</li> <li>• Uses little to no examples/ references.</li> </ul> |       |

| Area   | A (85% - 100%)<br>10.0 – 7.0  | B - C- (55% - 84%)<br>6.9 – 5.5   | D (50% - 54%)<br>5.4 - 5.0   | F (0 - 49%)<br>4.9 - 0   | Score |
|--|---|---|--|--|-------|
| <b>Delivery Style:<br/>Nonverbal<br/>Effectiveness</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Demonstrates ease and confidence through carefully maintained posture, poise, and facial expression.</li> <li>• Uses continual eye contact.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Demonstrates confidence through most of the presentation with careful posture, poise, and facial expression.</li> <li>• Uses fairly consistent eye contact.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At times demonstrates developing confidence in presenting content. At times uses posture, poise, and facial expression to support presentation.</li> <li>• Uses eye contact inconsistently.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shows lack of ease in presenting through poor posture, lack of poise, and lack of facial expression.</li> <li>• Uses poor to no eye contact.</li> </ul>   |       |
| <b>Delivery Style:<br/>Verbal<br/>Effectiveness</b>    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conveys passionate interest for the topic.</li> <li>• Speaks naturally at a moderate rate with correct pronunciation, enunciation and volume.</li> <li>• Uses few unnecessary pauses “ums”.</li> <li>• Makes no obvious grammatical errors.</li> <li>• Uses cue cards or other supports effectively and does not read the presentation.</li> <li>• Uses rich, precise, and varied vocabulary.</li> <li>• Well-rehearsed and prepared.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conveys enthusiasm for the topic.</li> <li>• Most of the time speaks clearly at a moderate rate with correct pronunciation, enunciation and volume.</li> <li>• Uses few unnecessary pauses “ums”.</li> <li>• Makes few grammatical errors.</li> <li>• Most of the time uses cue cards or other supports appropriately, without reading the presentation.</li> <li>• Uses well-chosen and varied vocabulary.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Demonstrates minimal enthusiasm for the topic.</li> <li>• Speaks with some lack of clarity in pronunciation and enunciation with varying volume and rate of speech.</li> <li>• Uses too many unnecessary pauses (“ums”), distracting from the presentation.</li> <li>• Makes some grammatical errors.</li> <li>• Tends to not use cue cards effectively and mostly relies on reading the presentation.</li> <li>• Uses ineffective vocabulary.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No enthusiasm is conveyed for the topic Speech is unclear with poor enunciation and incorrect pronunciation.</li> <li>• Volume and rate of speech are often inappropriate, making comprehension difficult.</li> <li>• Uses too many unnecessary pauses “ums”, making comprehension difficult.</li> <li>• Makes frequent grammatical errors.</li> <li>• Reads presentation.</li> <li>• Uses inappropriate or simplistic vocabulary.</li> </ul> |       |

**Total Score out of 40:**

**Facilitator/Evaluator’s Comments:**